

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,279	12/15/2003	Huajia Li	14617	4280
Min (Amy) S. Xu DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Intellectual Property Department 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			EXAMINER	
			ABELSON, RONALD B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		SŤ.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/736,279	LI, HUAJIA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ronald Abelson	2619		
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- lation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 03 October 2007.			
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are value.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		, ,		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	Ron abelow		
Application Papers	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2000</u>		a) 🕅 accounted or b) 🗆 objected to by the		
Examiner.	is/aie.	a/M accepted of b/m objected to by the		
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	<del>-</del> ', '	, ,		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ol>	cuments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	received in this National Stage		
application from the International				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		dummary (PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO:</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application		

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, the value of  $\Delta Pa-p$  is ambiguous with respect to the Amendments to the Specification (dated 10/3/07) and figure 2. According to the amendment (page 2), the value of  $\Delta Pa-p$  is equal to the value of  $\Delta Pa-c$ .

"a first power bias magnitude  $\Delta Pa$ -c with the power of the access prefix which is the last one access(ed) successfully".

"a second power bias magnitude  $\Delta Pa$ -p with the power of the access prefix of the last successful access".

However, the values of  $\Delta Pa$ -p and  $\Delta Pa$ -c are clearly different according to figure 2.

Art Unit: 2619

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art 'AAPA' in view of Lin.

Regarding claim 1, AAPA teaches transmitting code division multiple access physical common packet channels (code division multiple access, physical common packet channel, pg. 1:

Background of the Invention: 1st paragraph);

indicating an acquisition in a down-link physical common packet channel by a base station for an access prefix transmitted by user equipment (fig. 1 Access prefix, access prefix, when the down-link acknowledgement information is received, pg. 1: Background of the Invention: 1st paragraph);

Art Unit: 2619

transmitting a conflict detection prefix by the user equipment to the base station (fig. 1: Conflict detection prefix, conflict detection prefix, pg. 1: Background of the Invention: 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph).

Although AAPA teaches transmitting a conflict detection prefix, the reference is silent a power bias magnitude  $\Delta Pa-c$  with power of the access prefix which is the last one accessed successfully. Note, as shown in fig. 2 and the amended specification the power of the conflict detection prefix is twice the power of the last access prefix accessed successfully. Given the applicant does not state unexpected results based upon transmitting at twice the power of the last access prefix accessed successfully, the examiner will interpret the claim limitation to be transmitting the conflict detection at a power level higher than the last access prefix accessed successfully.

Lin teaches the probability of error is inversely proportional to the signal power (eq. 1.12, 1.13, noisy channel coding theorem, pg. 10,11).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the system of AAPA by transmitting the conflict detection prefix at a higher power level than the last access prefix accessed successfully, i.e. twice the power

Art Unit: 2619

level, as suggested by Lin. This modification would benefit the system by minimizing the probability of error.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Art Unit: 2619

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3165. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2619

Ronald Abelson

Page 7

Examiner

Art Unit 2619

\*\*\*